

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,527	_	09/22/2003	Eung-Su Kim	0630-1847P	0630-1847P 5920	
2292	7590	06/16/2005		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH				VAN, QUANG T		
PO BOX 7 FALLS CH		/A 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·			3742	<u>.</u>	
				DATE MAILED: 06/16/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/665,527	KIM ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Quang T. Van	3742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
. Main The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of							
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on							
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the case of the shortened standard in the case of the shortened standard in the case of the cas	atutory period for reply originally set in the	final Office action; or (2)	as set forth in (b)				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any entry must be applied any reply must be applied. 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially for	saucing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(575) 554)				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's Teply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	• •	timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).		-	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>7-9</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>10</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 6</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1,2 and 0.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3-5</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
	. 0	Quang T Van					
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3742					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In claim 1, the term "a transparent window located across substantially the entire width of the slanted portion of the door to the door to permit viewing of the cooking chamber from above the oven" has raised a new issue. Further, with regard to argument of "withdrawal of claim 5 was improper". The Examiner disagrees. The Amendment filed 01/19/2005, claim 5 had been amended "the casing and the door are gradually slanted from an upper end portion of the front surface to a lower end portion of the front end surface", has changed the scope of claim 5. Theamended term "a lower end portion of the front end surface", which is read on figure 8 of Non-elected Species II, but not elected Species I (Figures 6-7)>Therefore, withdrawal of claim 5 was proper.